



Implementing Texas' New DNR Law : Hospital and Patient Impact

January 2019



THA
Texas Hospital Association

THA hosted a summit in October for member hospitals and other stakeholders to discuss challenges and concerns related to the implementation of Senate Bill 11, passed during the special legislative session in 2018 and that makes significant changes to physicians' use of in-hospital do-not-resuscitate orders. The summit is one piece of THA's advocacy and education efforts to ensure hospitals fully comply with the law and regulations and to obtain member input to guide future policymaking work with the Texas Legislature and rulemaking entities so that patient autonomy and decision-making power are preserved.

Resources for hospitals related to complying with and implementing the new DNR law are available from www.tha.org/sb11.

Legislative and Regulatory Background

Since the first version of SB 11 was introduced in 2017, THA has worked closely with a broad spectrum of groups, including the Texas Medical Association, Texas Catholic Conference of Bishops, Texas Alliance for Life and Texans for Life, to make sure the bill did not create unnecessary obstacles for patient care or undermine patients' rights to have their wishes for end-of-life care respected and upheld. Once it became clear that the authors of SB 11 would not incorporate hospitals' concerns, THA opposed the bill. Nonetheless, as SB 11 now is law, THA is working with all our members to ensure compliance and to identify areas where the law needs improving to better protect patients' rights and preserve physicians' ability to practice medicine.

SB 11's provisions took effect April 1 and impose new requirements on hospitals related to issuing, executing, revoking and providing notice related to a DNR order in an inpatient setting.

Notably, SB 11 sets out a process for in-hospital DNR orders that:

1. Restricts patients from making their wishes known through oral communication with a physician (witnesses must be present).
2. Outlines, in statute, the confines of a medical order.
3. May allow a surrogate to overrule the wishes of an incompetent patient.
4. Potentially subjects providers and facilities to criminal penalties.

Final rules, issued by the Texas Health and Human Services Commission to guide the implementation of SB 11, took effect on Sept. 20, 2018. The final rules include changes supported by THA that:

1. Limit the scope of SB 11 to in-hospital DNR orders directing the withholding of CPR performed on a patient whose circulatory or respiratory functions cease.
2. Allow for an order to be written or electronically uploaded to an EMR.

However, the final rules also exceed the statute's language and establish requirements for a hospital's governing body and medical staff to adopt policies and bylaws formally enacting the facility's DNR policy in accordance with SB 11. In early December, the House Committee on State Affairs, chaired by Rep. Byron Cook (R-Corsicana), released its interim charge report on SB 11. Among its recommendations, it suggests THHSC amend the rules to remove regulations regarding medical staff bylaws relating to DNR orders.

Medical Perspective

Integral to the discussion about policy that potentially limits patients' ability to request a DNR order is the medical evidence on the effectiveness of CPR. Robert Fine, M.D., a medical ethicist at Baylor Scott & White and member of THA's advance directives workgroup compiled the following statistics on CPR that demonstrate that CPR outcomes are worse than what is generally assumed. Nonetheless, it is the default treatment unless a patient has a valid DNR order.

- **A sample of CPR use among hospitalized patients nationwide:** Survival to discharge averaged about 25 percent of patients, with about 20 percent suffering some type of central nervous system compromise and only about 20 percent of the survivors discharged to home (others were discharged to hospice or nursing or long term care facilities).

THA Summit on New DNR Law

To help THA members get clarity on implementing the complex legislation, THA convened a summit in October to provide a forum for members to share questions about the law and to learn from each other and THA staff how best to comply with the requirements.

While the conversation was wide-ranging, notable concerns with and questions about the legislation focused on issues where SB 11's rules and regulations were either 1) unclear and confusing or 2) overly-prescriptive and likely to compromise patient and physician autonomy. For example:

1. SB 11 requires providers to have conversations with patients and surrogates that were not previously required. This requirement already has led to situations where an individual does not want to sign a declaration of wishes (as opposed to only orally relaying those directions to a physician) or did not want to make an oral declaration with witnesses present. As such, patient autonomy, confidentiality and the physician-patient relationship are potentially compromised.
2. A lack of clarity resulting from some of SB 11's rules and regulations requires facilities and physicians to make judgment calls in carrying out their responsibilities, forcing action without clear direction and leading to concerns over potential penalties. Specific examples include the use of terms such as "as soon as practicable," "reasonably diligent efforts," and "known" individuals (without clarity regarding to whom they must be known) that leave unwanted room for interpretation, and an unclear delineation on when a facility should issue an order pursuant to SB 11 or under the rules governing out-of-hospital DNR orders. SB 11's rules and regulations also reference other provisions of the Texas Advance Directive Act, such as a citation to Sec. 166.039, without clarity on whether the entire provision or only subsections are applicable. This lack of clarity creates unclear mandates, confusion and fear for providers who want to comply with a statute that imposes criminal and civil penalties for failing to comply.
3. Under SB 11, an attending physician, defined in regulations as "a physician selected by or assigned to a patient who has primary responsibility for a patient's treatment and care," must direct a DNR order. This requirement can be challenging in practice in busy hospitals where the attending physician may not be immediately available. This requirement could create unnecessary delays and obstacles to having patient wishes respected.
4. Of major concern to hospitals is the ability of a patient's surrogate decision maker to revoke a proper DNR order under SB 11. This could allow for a patient's wishes to be compromised or completely overturned.

THA appreciates the magnitude of the issues raised in response to SB 11's implementation, and its impact on hospital operations, physician practice, and most importantly, patient care. THA continues to monitor these issues and guide hospitals towards best practices.

*Serving Texas hospitals as the
trusted source and unified voice to
influence excellence in health care
for all Texans.*



THA
Texas Hospital Association

1108 Lavaca, Suite 700, Austin, TX 78701
512.465.1000
info@tha.org
www.tha.org